

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
			1	
10/642,746	08/19/2003	Sofia Hermansson	1018798-000168	3752
21839 BUCHANAN.	7590 07/31/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAM	EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE		HAND, ME	HAND, MELANIE JO	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3761		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/642,746	HERMANSSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MELANIE J. HAND	3761		
MILE WILL O. TIVWID	0701		

	MELANIE J. HAND	3761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	y was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this on, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi, or other evidence, which places the on in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request inued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time						
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  xaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		20(a) and the annualist					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension after have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions for les under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latter than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
	liance with 37 CED 41 37 must be	Flad within two months	of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filled within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filled within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>Into proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
/Tatyana Zalukaeva/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761	/Melanie J Hand/ Examiner, Art Unit 3761						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed July 7, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to arguments regarding claims 1-15 and 18: These arguments are directed primarily to the perceived lack of motivation to modify Komatsu to meet all of the limitations of claim 1. These arguments were addressed in detail in the final action and will not be addressed further herein. As to the balance of arguments, regarding the argument with respect to a citation from the MPEP or case law by examiner supporting the position that Komatsu does not teach away from the claimed invention, applicant is no doubt properly acquainted with the concept of "teaching away" as applicant has used this very phrase in their own arguments. Thus a citation of case law or from the MPEP by examiner arguing the opposite position is not considered necessary. Examiner again disagrees with applicant's assertion that only one of the fixing tongues of Komatsu would be sufficient to prevent movement of the article. No direction or specific type of movement that is prevented has been positively recited, i.e. a fixing tongue extending only from the front of the article would certainly prevent front-to-back movement in the longitudinal direction of the article. Firm attachment and immobilization of the claimed pad is not recited anywhere in the claims. As to applicant's request for withdrawal of finality because claim 4 was not listed in the claim heading in the non-final action mailed November 30, 2007, such request is denied and applicant is referred to applicant's own citation on page 8 of the remarks from the preceding final action. The limitations of claim 4 were in fact addressed in the rejection addressing claims 1-3 and 5 but omitted from the claim heading. Thus, since the content was present, applicant has already had an opportunity to address the rejection of claim 4 in the non-final action. Claim 4 is also stated as rejected in the Office Action summary. FORM PTOI -326.